IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LORI FREITAS and KAYLEE

MCWILLIAMS individually
and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

V.

GEISINGER HEALTH PLAN, and
SOCRATES, INC.

Defendants.

Defendants.

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

Pursuant to the authority granted to this Court under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 83(b), this Case Management Order shall govern all proceedings in the above-captioned case. No deadlines set by this Order, the Local Rules¹, or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure may be altered without this Court's approval, and this Court may modify any deadline sua sponte.

Joinder of Additional Parties and Amended Pleadings

- 1. All additional parties shall be joined by February 25, 2022.
- 2. Amended pleadings are due February 25, 2022.

¹ The Local Rules are online at http://www.pamd.uscourts.gov/sites/pamd/files/LR120114.pdf, and may also be obtained by writing the Clerk of Court, United States Courthouse and Federal Building, Suite 218, 240 West Third Street, Williamsport, Pennsylvania, 17701-6460.

Discovery

- 3. Fact discovery shall be conducted expeditiously and diligently, and shall be completed by February 25, 2022. All requests for extensions of this deadline shall be made at least fourteen days before the expiration of the discovery period.
 - 4. Plaintiff's expert reports are due May 27, 2022.
 - 5. Defendant's expert reports are due June 30, 2022.
 - 6. Supplemental and rebuttal expert reports are due July 15, 2022.
 - 7. Expert discovery shall be completed by July 15, 2022.
- 8. If a discovery dispute arises, counsel shall electronically docket a letter apprising the Court of the dispute's general contours. Upon receipt of that letter, the Court may schedule a conference if necessary. No discovery motions may be filed without express permission of the Court.²
- 9. Counsel shall confer within four weeks after the completion of discovery to discuss settlement, and shall notify this Court if they would like the and complaint but have not yet appeared, a copy of this Order shall, within three days of the date of this Order, be served on those parties in any manner authorized by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b) that is calculated to provide prompt, actual notice of this Order's contents. For parties who have not yet been served with the summons and complaint, or have not yet assistance of a United States Magistrate Judge in conducting a formal settlement conference.

Dispositive Motions

- 10. Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification is due July 29, 2022.
- 11. Dispositive motions shall be filed by April 29, 2022.

² See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(3)(B)(v).

- 12. Briefing on dispositive motions shall comply with Local Rules 7.4, 7.5, 7.6, 7.7, 7.8, and 56.1.
- 13. Any defendant contemplating a dispositive motion shall confer with other defendants and shall file joint motions and briefs whenever possible.

Pretrial Matters

14. Motions to exclude expert testimony snall be filed no later than thirty days from
receipt of the expert's report.
15. Motions in limine and supporting briefs shall be filed by
16. Pretrial memoranda shall be filed by No later than fourteen (14) days
before this date, counsel shall hold a conference as indicated in Local Rule 16.3.
17. Proposed voir dire questions shall be filed by
18. Proposed jury instructions shall be filed by
19. A final pretrial conference will be held on(time to be determined). ³ Counsel
who will try the case shall attend this conference (unless the Court approves the substitution of
another attorney who is fully familiar with the case), and all individuals with settlement authority
shall be present or available by telephone. At the conference, counsel shall set forth the elements
of all claims and defenses expected to be made at trial.

³ The pretrial conference will take place in Judge Brann's chambers at the United States Courthouse and Federal Building in Williamsport.

Trial

- 20. The above-captioned case is currently placed on the

 _____ 2022 trial list. Counsel should note, however, that criminal matters take priority and may delay the beginning of the civil trial list.
- 21. Jury selection will begin at 9:30 am on the first day scheduled for trial, and trial will commence immediately following the jury's empanelment.⁴

Miscellaneous Matters

- 22. Motions to seal documents (and the document(s) sought to be sealed) must be mailed to, or filed with, the Clerk's Office in Williamsport. The Court will not accept sealed documents filed in Scranton or Harrisburg.
- 23. At any time, if the parties wish to utilize the Court-Annexed Mediation Program⁵ or any other form of alternative dispute resolution, counsel should electronically docket a letter expressing this interest and request a telephone conference call with the Court.
- 24. Counsel are advised that they may to consent to the disposition of this case by a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).
- 25. Inquiries about this case may be directed to Janel R. Rhinehart, my Courtroom Deputy, at 570-323-9772.

⁴ Trial will take place in Courtroom No. 1, located on the fourth floor of the United States Courthouse and Federal Building in Williamsport.

⁵ *See* Local Rules 16.8.1-.7.

SUMMARY OF DATES AND DEADLINES

Joinder of additional parties: February 25, 2022
Amended pleadings: February 25, 2022
Discovery: February 25, 2022
Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification: July 29, 2022
Dispositive Motions: April 29, 2022
Plaintiff's expert reports: May 27, 2022
Defendant's expert reports: June 30, 2022
Supplemental and rebuttal expert reports: July 15, 2022
Expert discovery: July 15, 2022
Motions in limine and supporting briefs:
Pretrial memoranda:
Final pretrial conference:
Trial:
BY THE COURT:
Matthew W. Brann United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Plaintiffs, : No. 4:20-cv-1236 (MWB)

GEISINGER HEALTH PLAN, and

SOCRATES, INC.

v.

Defendants. :

......

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

1.0 Principal Issues

1.1 Separately for each party, please give a statement summarizing this case:

By plaintiff(s):

Plaintiffs received health insurance from the Geisinger Health Plan, an employee welfare benefits plan governed by ERISA. The plan contains a subrogation clause only. Accordingly, the plan terms do not permit or authorize a right of reimbursement against the member or the member's personal injury recovery.

By defendant(s):

Plaintiffs Lori Freitas and Kaylee McWilliams (collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs") received health insurance, under employee welfare benefits plans issued by Defendant Geisinger Health Plan (hereinafter "Defendant Geisinger") to their respective employers (hereinafter the "Plan"). During the relevant time period, Defendant Geisinger paid medical benefits under the Plan for Plaintiffs' personal injuries caused by third party tortfeasors.

Shortly thereafter, Plaintiffs asserted and settled claims regarding their personal injuries against the third party tortfeasors. Consistent with its rights under the operative Plan documents, Defendant Geisinger, through a third party service provider— ExlService.com,LLC (hereinafter "Defendant ExlService"), asserted subrogation claims against Plaintiffs to recover amounts associated with the medical benefits Defendant Geisinger paid on each Plaintiff's behalf.

While Plaintiffs acknowledge that the Plan contains a subrogation provision, Plaintiffs contend that Defendant Geisinger and Defendant ExlService (collectively "Defendants") do not have a right to recovery. Defendants dispute this contention.

1.2 The facts the parties dispute are as follows:

All facts not agreed upon.

agree upon are as follows:

On or about April 9, 2017, Lori Freitas was involved in an injury-causing event.

As a result of Lori Freitas' injuries, Defendant Geisinger paid health benefits to Lori Freitas in the amount of \$17.590.80.

On or about March 23, 2017, Kaylee McWilliams was involved in an injury-causing event.

As a result of Kaylee McWilliams' injuries, Defendant Geisinger paid health benefits to Kaylee McWilliams in the amount of \$43,934.76.

Defendant Geisinger, through a third party service provider—ExlService.com,LLC (previously Socrates, Inc.), asserted subrogation claims against Plaintiffs to recover amounts associated with the medical benefits Defendant Geisinger paid on each Plaintiff's behalf.

1.3 The legal issues the parties <u>dispute</u> are as follows:

Whether the subject health benefits plans contain an equitable and legal right to reimbursement from Plaintiffs' respective personal injuries recoveries.

Whether the subject health benefits plans' subrogation rights include an equitable and legal right to reimbursement against Plaintiffs' respective personal injury recoveries.

Whether Plaintiffs engaged in conduct that would prejudice Defendant Geisinger's subrogation rights.

agree upon are as follows:

The subject health benefits plans are governed by ERISA.

1.4 Identify any unresolved issues as to service of process, personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, or venue:

N/A

1.5 Identify any named parties that have not yet been served:

N/A

1.6 Identify any additional parties that:

plaintiff(s) intends to join:

None at this time.

defendant(s) intends to join:

	None at the	nis time.			
1.7	Identify any additional claims that:				
	plaintiff(s) intends to add:			
	None at the	nis time.			
	defendant	c(s) intends to add:			
	None at the	nis time.			
2.0 Disclos	sures				
		certify that they have made occion 26(a)(1) or that they			
2.1	Separately for each party, list by <u>name and title/position</u> each person whose identity has been disclosed. Disclosed by Plaintiff:				
		Name		Title/Position	
	-		_		
	-		_		
	<u>-</u>		_		
	_		_		
		Name		<u>Title/Position</u>	
	-		_		
	-		_		
	-		_		
3.0 Early I	Motions		_		
		those early resolution would scovery or other aspects of t			
Nature of M	otion_	Moving Party	<u>7</u>	Anticipated Filing Date	

4.0 Discovery

4.1 Briefly describe any discovery that has been completed or is in progress:

By plaintiff(s):

Plaintiffs have served their first demand for production of documents and their first set of interrogatories directed to Defendants. Consistent with the Rules of Civil Procedures, those requests will be deemed as served following the Parties' Rule 26(f) conference.

By defendant(s):

Defendants will serve their first discovery demands within 30 days of the initial case management conference.

4.2 Describe any <u>discovery</u> that all <u>parties agree</u> should be conducted, indicating for each discovery undertaking its purpose or what kinds of information will be developed through it (e.g., "plaintiff will depose Mr. Jones, defendant's controller, to learn what defendant's revenue recognition policies were and how they were applied to the kinds of contracts in this case"):

The parties agree the full panoply of discovery is available to both parties.

4.3 Describe any <u>discovery</u> that one or more parties want(s) to conduct but <u>to which another party objects</u>, indicating for each such discovery undertaking its purpose or what kinds of information would be developed through it:

There is no such disagreement at this time.

4.4 Identify any <u>subject area limitations on discovery</u> that one or more parties would like imposed, at the first stage of or throughout the litigation:

Plaintiff: None at this time

Defendant: None at this time

- 4.5 For each of the following discovery tools, recommend the per-party or per-side limitation (specify a number) that should be fixed, subject to later modification by stipulation or court order on an appropriate showing (where the parties cannot agree, set forth separately the limits. recommended by plaintiff(s) and by defendant(s)):
 - 4.5.1 depositions (excluding experts) to be taken by:

plaintiff(s): 10

defendant(s): 10

4.5.2 interrogatories to be served by:

plaintiff(s): 25

defendant(s): 25

4.5.3 document production requests to be served by:

plaintiff(s): 50

defendant(s): 50

4.5.4 requests for admission to be served by:

plaintiff(s): 25

defendant(s): 25

4.6 Discovery of Electronically Stored Information

X Counsel certify that they have conferred about the matters addressed in M.D. Pa LR 26.1 and that they are in agreement about how those matters will be addressed in discovery.

5.0 Protective Order

- 5.1 If entry of a protective order is sought, attach to this statement a copy of the proposed order. Include a statement justifying the propriety of such a protective order under existing Third Circuit precedent.
- 5.2 If there is a dispute about whether a protective order should be entered, or about certain terms of the proposed order, briefly summarize each party's position below:

Plaintiff: Plaintiff does not seek a Protective Order. However, if there is material for which a Protective Order is appropriate, Plaintiff will certainly engage in good faith discussion with defendant.

Defendant: At this time Defendants do not believe that a Protective Order is necessary. However, Defendants will engage in a good faith discussion with Plaintiffs if, at any time, Plaintiffs believe a Protective Order would be necessary, including if Defendants are required to produce any personal and confidential information related to Plaintiffs, including but not limited to their medical records.

6.0 Scheduling

6.1 Final date for joining additional parties:

Plaintiff(s): February 25, 2022

	Defendants(s): February 25, 2022
6.2	Final date for amending pleadings:
	Plaintiff(s): February 25, 2022 Defendants(s): February 25, 2022
6.3	All fact discovery commenced in time to be completed by: February 25 2022
6.4	All potentially dispositive motions should be filed by: April 29, 2022
6.5	Reports from retained experts due: from plaintiff(s) by: May 27, 2022
	from defendant(s) by: June 30, 2022
6.6	Supplementations due: July 15, 2022
6.7	All expert discovery commenced in time to be completed by July 15, 2022
6.8	Plaintiff's motion for class certification to be filed on or before: July 29, 2022
6.9	This case may be appropriate for trial in approximately:
	240 Days from the filing of the action in this court
	365 Days from the filing of the action in this court
	Days from the filing of the action in this court
	The Parties propose the Court schedule any pre-trial deadlines after the disposition of Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. To facilitate this process, the Parties will meet and confer and submit a proposed scheduling order no later than 5 business days following the disposition of Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.
6.10	Suggested Date for the final Pretrial Conference:
	(month/year)
6.11	Trial
	6.11.1 Suggested Date for Trial:
	(month/year)

7.0 Certification of Settlement Authority (All Parties Shall Complete the Certification)

I hereby certify that the following individual(s) have settlement authority.

		Plaintiff:	Charles Kannebecker, Esq. 104 W. High Street Milford, PA 18337 (570) 296-6471 Kannebecker@wskllawfirm.com
		Defendant:	
		F. Kelly Davis, Associate Vice Presider 100 N. Academy Ave. Danville, PA 17822	nt and General Counsel at Geisinger Health Plan
		Ajay Ayyappan, Senior Vice President, ExlService.com, LLC 320 Park Avenue, 29 th New York, NY 10022	General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary at Floor
8.0	Altern	native Dispute Resoluti	on ("ADR")
	8.1		parties have agreed to use.
		ADR procedure:	None
		Date ADR to be comp	
	8.2	or more parties believ	en unable to agree on an ADR procedure, but one e that the case is appropriate for such a procedure, arties that recommend ADR and the specific ADR d: Not Applicable
	8.3	this case, set forth the	view that no ADR procedure should be used in basis for that view: It is too early in the case to n as to whether ADR would be appropriate.
9.0	Conse	nt to Jurisdiction by a	Magistrate Judge
judge	preside		ersuant to 28 U.S.C. 636(c)(1), to have a magistrate with appeal lying to the United States Court of

All parties agree to jurisdiction by a magistrate judge of this court: <u>x</u> N. ____Y

If parties agree to proceed before a magistrate judge, please indicate below which location is desired for the proceedings:

N/A.	
	Scranton/Wilkes-Barre
	Harrisburg
	Williamsport

10.0 Other Matters

Make any other suggestions for the case development process, settlement, or trial that may be useful or necessary to the efficient and just resolution of the dispute.

11.0 Identification of Counsel

Counsel shall be registered users of the court's Electronic Case Files System (ECF) and shall file documents electronically in accordance with the Local Rules of Court and the Standing Order RE: Electronic Case Filing Policies and Procedures. Electronic filing is required unless good cause is shown to the Chief Judge why counsel cannot comply with this policy. Any request for waiver of electronic filing must be filed with the Clerk=s Office prior to the case management conference. The Chief Judge may grant or deny such request.

Identify by name, address, and telephone number lead counsel for each party. Also please indicate ECF User status below.

Dated: August 9, 2021

/s/Charles Kannebecker

Charles Kannebecker, Esq. 104 W. High Street
Milford, PA 18337
(570) 296-6471
Kannebecker@wskllawfirm.com
Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s)

X ECF User(s)

Waiver requested (as separate document) Fed.R.Civ.P.7.1 (statement filed if necessary)*

Dated: August 9, 2021

/s/ Thomas G. Collins

Thomas G. Collins, Esq. (PA I.D. #758896) 409 North Second Street, Suite 500 Harrisburg, PA 17101-1357 Phone: (717)237-4800

Email: thomas.collins@bipc.com

Gretchen Woodruff Root, Esq. (PA I.D. #309683) 501 Grant Street, Suite 200 Pittsburgh, PA 15219-4413 Phone: (412)562-8800

Email: gretchen.root@bipc.com

Attorneys(s) for Defendant(s)

X ECF User(s)
Waiver requested (as separate document) Fed.R.Civ.P.7.1 (statement filed if necessary)*

^{*} Fed.R.Civ.P.7.1 requires a non-governmental corporate party to file a statement with the initial pleading, first entry of appearance, etc., that identifies any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock, or state there is no such corporation.